United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,981	02/10/2006	Christoph Leussler	PHUS030278US	7352
38107	7590 09/19/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ARANA, LOUIS M	
595 MINER CLEVELAN	ROAD VD, OH 44143		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,2, 011 //112		2859	
			DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/567,981	LEUSSLER, CHRISTOPH		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Louis M. Arana	2859		
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTUMHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availated after SIX (6) MONTHS from the result of the second o	R, FROM THE MAILING DA ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 nailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period vextended period for reply will, by statute ater than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON to date of this communication, even if timely file	DN. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 10 Fe	ebruary 2006.			
2a) This action is FINA	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordan	ce with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims					
4a) Of the above classified (a) Of the above classified (b) Claim(s) 20 is/are a classified (b) Claim(s) 1-4,11-15 7) Claim(s) 5-10,16 a	18 and 19 is/are rejected.	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not red Replacement drawing	quest that any objection to the g sheet(s) including the correct	or. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o caminer. Note the attached Offic	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19				
a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application fr	t c) None of: ies of the priority document ies of the priority document e certified copies of the prior om the International Bureau	s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv	tion Noved in this National Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (F		4) Interview Summar			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Pate Information Disclosure Staten Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/06</u>. 		Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/567,981 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is responsive to your preliminary amendment filed 2/10/06.
 Claims 1-20 are currently pending in this application.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it should be in a separate page. The abstract provided is that of the corresponding PCT application. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 11-12, 13-15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Zuk et al. P.N. 6.725,079 (Zuk).

Zuk discloses a system for locating the position of an object during interventional MRI.

The MRI apparatus as is well known consists of a main magnetic field generating

Page 3

assembly a gradient field generating assembly and a receiving coil or antenna for the NMR signals as described for example in claim 1 at issue. In addition Zuk discloses a tracking system for determining the location of an object in the Magnetic Resonance suite. This tracking system uses markers (see elements 24 in Fig. 1A-B) that in the preferred embodiment are electromagnetic-radiation-reflective markers responsive to an electromagnetic radiation transponder. See lines 32-35 of col.7. Zuk meets all the limitations of the claims at issue.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 18 is in error as it is a method claim dependent on an apparatus claim.

Apparently applicant intended claim 18 to depend from a claim other than 11.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claim 20 is allowed.
- 9. Claims 5-10 and 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Urbas et al., Schmitt and De La huerga all disclose systems in which use is made of transponders. See the abstract of each disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Louis M. Arana whose telephone number is (571) 272-2236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs. Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or \$771-272-1000.

੯ouis M. Arana Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

lma 9/12/06